

The scaling hypothesis

①

The critical exponents defined before are not completely independent.

They satisfy the following laws

$$\text{Fisher } \gamma = \nu(2 - \eta),$$

$$\text{Rushbrooke } \alpha + 2\beta + \gamma = 2,$$

$$\text{Widom } \gamma = \beta(\delta - 1),$$

$$\text{Josephson } \nu d = 2 - \alpha_0$$

§ Widom scaling theory

define dimensionless variables $t = \frac{T - T_c}{T_c}$ and $h = \frac{\mu_B}{k_B T_c}$

then the free energy density can be represented as $f = f(t, h)$. f can be decomposed into a regular part and a singular part as

$$f = f_n + f_s, \text{ and } f_s \text{-part is responsible for critical phenomena.}$$

Widom assume f_s can be represented as homogenous function of t, h as

$$f[\lambda^p t, \lambda^q h] = \lambda f[t, h]$$

p and q are scaling powers.
depend on universal classes

From p.g. we can derive all the critical exponents

$$\textcircled{1} m \sim - \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial h} \right)_t \quad \text{we } \lambda^q \frac{\partial}{\partial (\lambda^q h)} f(\lambda^p t, \lambda^q h) = \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial h} f(t, h)$$

$$\lambda^q m(\lambda^p t, \lambda^q h) = \lambda m(t, h).$$

$$\text{set } \lambda^p t = -1, h = 0 \Rightarrow m(t, 0) = \lambda^{q-1} m(-1, 0).$$

$$\text{From } \lambda^p t = -1 \Rightarrow \lambda = (-t)^{-1/p} \Rightarrow m(t, 0) \sim (-t)^{(1-q)/p} \Rightarrow \beta = \frac{1-q}{p}$$

Comment: ① we can set $\lambda^p t = 1$, but $m(t, 0) = \lambda^{q-1} m(1, 0) = 0$ nothing interesting.

② rigorously speaking, the relation $f(\lambda^p t, \lambda^q h) = \lambda f(t, h)$ only valid in the regime of $|t| \ll 1, h \ll 1$. We cannot set $\lambda^p t = -1$. In fact we set $\lambda^p t \sim t_m$, where t_m is the size of the critical region. This does not change the scaling behavior. Setting $\lambda^p t = -1$ is only a convenience.

② Set $t=0, \lambda^q h=1$; we have

$$\lambda^{q-1} m(0, 1) = m(0, h) \Rightarrow m(0, h) = h^{\frac{q-1}{q}} m(0, 1) \Rightarrow \delta = \frac{q}{1-q}$$

③ From $\lambda^q m(\lambda^p t, \lambda^q h) = \lambda m(t, h)$, we take derivative with respect to

$h. \Rightarrow \lambda^{q+1} \chi(\lambda^p t, \lambda^q h) = \lambda \chi(t, h)$ or $\chi(t, h) = \lambda^{2q-1} \chi(\lambda^p t, \lambda^q h)$

Set $\begin{cases} \lambda^p t = \pm 1 & \text{for } t > 0, \text{ and } t < 0 \\ h = 0 \end{cases}$

$$\Rightarrow \chi(t, 0) = |t|^{-\frac{2q-1}{p}} \chi(\pm 1, 0) \sim |t|^{-\frac{2q-1}{p}} \Rightarrow \gamma = \frac{2q-1}{p}$$

④ from $f[\lambda^p t, \lambda^q h] = \lambda f(t, h)$

$$\lambda^p \frac{\partial}{\partial (\lambda^p t)} f[\lambda^p t, \lambda^q h] = \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(t, h) \Rightarrow \lambda^{2p} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial (\lambda^p t)^2} (\lambda^p t, \lambda^q h) = \lambda \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} f(t, h)$$

$$C = -T \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial T^2} \right)_h \sim \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t^2} \Rightarrow \lambda^{2p} C[\lambda^p t, \lambda^q h] = \lambda C(t, h)$$

Set $h=0$ $\Rightarrow C(t, 0) = |t|^{\frac{2p-1}{p}} C[\pm 1, 0]$ for $t > 0, t < 0$ respectively.

$$\lambda^p t = \pm 1 \Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{2p-1}{p}$$

we can check that $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma = \frac{2p-1}{p} + \frac{2(1-q)}{p} + \frac{2q-1}{p} = 2$

$\gamma = \beta(\delta-1) : \frac{2q-1}{p} = \frac{1-q}{p} \left[\frac{q}{1-q} - 1 \right]$

§ Fisher scaling law

In order to find the relations of η, ν with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$, we need consider

Correlation function $G(r) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0^\pm} |r|^{-(d-2+\eta)} g_\pm(r/\xi)$ at $h=0$.

at $h \neq 0$ $G(r, h) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0^\pm} |r|^{-(d-2+\eta)} g'_\pm(r/\xi, h\xi^\Delta)$.

Δ is a const power. The magnetic moments within a correlation length ξ are nearly the same. Thus the effect of external field h , is amplified by a factor of ξ^Δ . Replacing $\xi \sim |t|^{-\nu}$, we have

$G(r, h) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0^\pm} |r|^{-(d-2+\eta)} g'_\pm(r/\xi, h/|t|^\Delta)$

, with $\Delta = \nu\Delta$.

① $h=0$. for Fisher's law.

$\chi = \frac{1}{Nk_B T} \sum_{i,j} [\langle m(i) m(j) \rangle - \langle m(i) \rangle \langle m(j) \rangle]$ ← Please prove

$= \frac{1}{k_B T} \int d^d r G(r) |_{h=0} \sim \int dr r^{-(d-2+\eta)} g_\pm(r/\xi)$

$\sim \left[\int_0^\infty dx x^{-(d-2+\eta)} g_\pm(x) \right] \cdot \xi^{2-\eta} = \text{const} \cdot \xi^{2-\eta}$

$\Rightarrow \chi \sim \xi^{2-\eta} \sim |t|^{-\nu(2-\eta)}$

and $\chi \sim |t|^{-\gamma}$

$\gamma = \nu(2-\eta)$

The assumption that ξ is the only relevant length scale near $t \sim 0$ is called "scaling hypothesis". There's another "hyper-scaling hypothesis" which is necessary to derive the Josephson's law.

hyperscaling hypothesis: The singular part of the free energy density scales $f_{\text{sing}}(t) \sim \frac{1}{\xi(t)^d} \sim |t|^{\nu d}$.

From this assumption $C \sim \frac{\partial^2 f_{\text{sing}}}{\partial t^2} \sim |t|^{\nu d - 2}$, and $C \sim |t|^{-\alpha}$

$\Rightarrow \alpha = 2 - \nu d$.

Argument to justify "hyper-hypothesis": Pippard & Ginsberg. At temperature $k_B T \sim k_B T_c$, the fluctuation length scale is ξ . The free energy deviation from the mean field within ξ , is at the order of $k_B T_c$, thus

$\beta \Delta F \sim 1$. Thus $f \sim \frac{k_B T_c}{\xi^d} \sim |t|^{\nu d}$.

In summary, from the above 4-scaling laws, we can express all the critical exponents in terms of ν and η as.

critical behaviour of two point correlation divergence of correlation length

$\alpha = 2 - \nu d$
 $\beta = \frac{1}{2} \nu (d - 2 + \eta)$
 $\gamma = \nu (2 - \eta)$
 $\delta = \frac{d + 2 - \eta}{d - 2 + \eta}$

The goal of RG is to justify these scaling argument and provides a technical framework to compute these critical exponents.

	2d Ising	3d Ising	3D Heisenberg	mean field
α	0	0.12	-0.14	0
β	$1/8$	0.31	0.3	$1/2$
γ	$7/4$	1.25	1.4	1
δ	15	5		3
ν	1	0.64	0.7	$1/2$
η	$1/4$	0.05	0.04	0

mean-field results do not obey Josephson law: $2 - \alpha/\nu d = 4/d$.