University of California at San Diego – Department of Physics – Prof. John McGreevy

Quantum Mechanics C (130C) Winter 2014 Final exam — frontmatter

Please remember to put your name on your exam booklet. This is a closed-book exam. There are 6 problems, each with several parts, of varying levels of difficulty; make sure you try all of the parts. None of the problems require very extensive calculation; if you find yourself involved in a morass of calculation, step back and think. Good luck.

Possibly useful information:

$$\mathbf{U}(t) = e^{-\mathbf{i}\mathbf{H}t/\hbar} \text{ satisfies } \mathbf{i}\hbar\partial_t \mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{U}].$$
$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}^y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathbf{i}\\ \mathbf{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}^z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$|\uparrow_{\hat{n}}\rangle = e^{-\mathbf{i}\varphi/2}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}|\uparrow_{\hat{z}}\rangle + e^{+\mathbf{i}\varphi/2}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}|\downarrow_{\hat{z}}\rangle \quad \text{satisfies } \vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot \hat{n}|\uparrow_{\hat{n}}\rangle = |\uparrow_{\hat{n}}\rangle$$
$$e^{-i\alpha\hat{n}\cdot\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}} = 1\cos\alpha - i\hat{n}\cdot\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\sin\alpha.$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta\\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta\\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_{\rm SHO} = \hbar\omega \left(\mathbf{a}^{\dagger} \mathbf{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 \mathbf{q}^2$$
$$\mathbf{q} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \left(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^{\dagger} \right), \quad \mathbf{p} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{i}}\sqrt{\frac{\hbar m\omega}{2}} \left(\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a}^{\dagger} \right); \quad [\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}] = \mathbf{i}\hbar \implies [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^{\dagger}] = 1.$$

In Coulomb gauge, in vacuum $(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = 0, \Phi = 0)$: $\vec{E} = -\partial_t \vec{A}, \quad \vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}.$

$$\begin{split} \vec{\mathbf{A}}(\vec{r}) &= \sum_{\vec{k}} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\epsilon_0 \omega_k L^3}} \sum_{s=1,2} \left(\mathbf{a}_{\vec{k},s} \vec{e}_s(\hat{k}) e^{\mathbf{i}\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} + \mathbf{a}_{\vec{k},s}^{\dagger} \vec{e}_s^{\star}(\hat{k}) e^{-\mathbf{i}\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} \right), \\ \vec{\mathbf{E}}(\vec{r}) &= \mathbf{i} \sum_{\vec{k}} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega_k}{2\epsilon_0 L^3}} \sum_{s=1,2} \left(\mathbf{a}_{\vec{k},s} \vec{e}_s(\hat{k}) e^{\mathbf{i}\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} - \mathbf{a}_{\vec{k},s}^{\dagger} \vec{e}_s^{\star}(\hat{k}) e^{-\mathbf{i}\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} \right) \end{split}$$

Chain of coupled springs:

$$\mathbf{q}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m}} \sum_{k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_k}} \left(e^{\mathbf{i}kx} \mathbf{a}_k + e^{-\mathbf{i}kx} \mathbf{a}_k^{\dagger} \right), \qquad \mathbf{p}(x) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{i}} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar m}{2}} \sum_{k} \sqrt{\omega_k} \left(e^{\mathbf{i}kx} \mathbf{a}_k - e^{-\mathbf{i}kx} \mathbf{a}_k^{\dagger} \right).$$

1. Short answers and conceptual questions [4 points each, except as noted]

For true or false questions: if the statement is false, you must explain what is wrong or correct it or give a counterexample; if the statement is true, you can simply say 'true'.