## University of California at San Diego – Department of Physics – Prof. John McGreevy Physics 230 Quantum Phases of Matter, Spr 2024 Assignment 4

Due 11pm Thursday, May 2, 2024

- 1. A simple avatar of the Lieb-Schulz-Mattis theorem. Consider the effective theory describing a system living in the continuum that spontaneously forms a solid, say a cubic lattice in d dimensions. Since translation symmetry is spontaneously broken, the degrees of freedom must include a collection of Goldstone bosons  $\theta^{I}$ , where I = 1..d runs over the spatial dimensions.  $\theta^{I}(x)$  is the shift of the atom at location x in the I direction relative to its equilibrium position. These fields live on a circle, because if I shift all the atoms by the lattice spacing, I get back the original lattice.
  - (a) Convince yourself that the effective action takes the form

$$S_{\text{elastic}}[\theta^{I}] = \int d^{d+1}x \ \kappa^{ijKL} \partial_{i} \theta^{K} \partial_{j} \theta^{L} + \text{terms with more derivatives}, \quad (1)$$

where the coupling constant  $\kappa^{ijKL}$  is the elasticity tensor. With various symmetries imposed, it can be decomposed further into various tensors with names from the 19th century. These tensors describe things like bending moduli – the rigidity of the solid to various kinds of strain.

- (b) Now suppose that the number of atoms is a conserved quantity. That is, consider a situation where there is also a U(1) symmetry. So we can couple the system to a background gauge field  $A_{\mu}$  for this U(1) symmetry. We'll assume this U(1) symmetry is not spontaneously broken. What are the leading terms in the (local!) effective action  $S_{\text{eff}}[\theta^{I}, A_{\mu}]$  that preserve gauge invariance and translation symmetry?
- (c) Consider the case of d = 1. In addition to the terms involving dA, one interesting term is

$$S_{\nu}[\theta, A] \equiv \frac{\nu}{2\pi} \int A \wedge d\theta = \frac{\nu}{2\pi} \int dx dt A_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \theta \epsilon^{\mu\nu}.$$
 (2)

One point to notice about it is that it is not obviously gauge invariant, because it depends explicitly on A and not just the gauge-invariant object F. Show that  $e^{iS_{\nu}}$  is gauge invariant if  $\nu$  is an integer. (d) What does the new term (2) do? Well, the first question we should ask about an effective action for a background gauge field is: what is the resulting charge density:

$$\rho(x) = \frac{\delta S}{\delta A_0(x)} ?$$

Interpret your result.

- (e) What is the analog of (2) in d dimensions? (That is, find a term in d spatial dimensions involving a single power of A and derivatives of the  $\theta^I$  that can be written without using the metric.) Show that its coefficient  $\nu$  is quantized to be an integer. What contribution does it make to the density?
- (f) We can identify the goldstone field  $\theta$  with the phase field describing the displacements of the atoms from their equilibrium positions:

$$u^{i}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}a_{I}^{i}\theta^{I}(x,t) - x^{i}$$

where  $\vec{a}_I$  are generators of the lattice  $\Gamma$ . Then the equilibrium configuration is actually  $\theta^I(x,t) = K_i^I x^i$  where  $K_i^I \left(\frac{a}{2\pi}\right)_I^j = \delta_i^j$ , so  $K_i^I$  is the matrix whose columns are the reciprocal lattice generators.

- (g) The conclusion you should find by the gauge invariance argument above, under the present assumptions, is that  $\nu$ , and hence the equilibrium density must be an integer. This is an avatar of the Lieb-Schulz-Mattis-Oshikawa-Hastings (LSMOH) theorem. Now, you may say to yourself, why can't I make a system at some filling which is not an integer? Indeed, I can take 20007 particles and place them in a volume with 20004 unit cells, and the system must have some groundstate. What gives?
- 2. Edge modes of CS theory. Now we return to abelian Chern-Simons theory (for an extra challenge, redo this part in the non-Abelian case). If there is a boundary of spacetime, something must be done to fix up the fact that the action is not invariant under would-be gauge transformations that are nontrivial at the boundary. Consider the case where  $\Sigma = \mathbb{R} \times \text{UHP}$  where  $\mathbb{R}$  is the time direction, and UHP is the upper half-plane y > 0. One way to fix the problem is simply to declare that the would-be gauge transformations which do not vanish at y = 0 are not redundancies. This means that they represent physical degrees of freedom.
  - (a) First consider the simplest case of U(1) CS theory at level k. Choose  $a_0 = 0$  gauge, and plug the solution of the bulk equations of motion  $a = \tilde{d}\phi$  (where  $\phi(x, y \to 0) \equiv \phi(x)$  is a scalar field, and  $\tilde{d}$  is the exterior derivative on the

spatial manifold) into the Chern-Simons action to find the resulting action for  $\phi$ .

(b) We can also add local terms at the boundary to the action. Consider adding  $\Delta S = g \int_{\partial \Sigma} a_x^2$  (for some coupling constant g). Find the equations of motion for  $\phi$ .

Interpretation: the Chern-Simons theory on a space with boundary necessarily produces a chiral edge mode.

(c) If you feel like it, redo the previous parts for the general K-matrix theory.