University of California at San Diego – Department of Physics – Prof. John McGreevy Physics 230 Quantum Phases of Matter, Spr 2024 Assignment 4 – Solutions

Due 11pm Thursday, May 2, 2024

- 1. A simple avatar of the Lieb-Schulz-Mattis theorem. Consider the effective theory describing a system living in the continuum that spontaneously forms a solid, say a cubic lattice in d dimensions. Since translation symmetry is spontaneously broken, the degrees of freedom must include a collection of Goldstone bosons θ^{I} , where I = 1..d runs over the spatial dimensions. $\theta^{I}(x)$ is the shift of the atom at location x in the I direction relative to its equilibrium position. These fields live on a circle, because if I shift all the atoms by the lattice spacing, I get back the original lattice.
 - (a) Convince yourself that the effective action takes the form

$$S_{\text{elastic}}[\theta^{I}] = \int d^{d+1}x \ \kappa^{ijKL} \partial_{i} \theta^{K} \partial_{j} \theta^{L} + \text{terms with more derivatives}, \quad (1)$$

where the coupling constant κ^{ijKL} is the elasticity tensor. With various symmetries imposed, it can be decomposed further into various tensors with names from the 19th century. These tensors describe things like bending moduli – the rigidity of the solid to various kinds of strain.

Because the θ^{I} are Goldstone bosons, they can only appear in terms with derivatives. Rotation invariance forbids terms with a single derivative.

(b) Now suppose that the number of atoms is a conserved quantity. That is, consider a situation where there is also a U(1) symmetry. So we can couple the system to a background gauge field A_{μ} for this U(1) symmetry. We'll assume this U(1) symmetry is not spontaneously broken. What are the leading terms in the (local!) effective action $S_{\text{eff}}[\theta^{I}, A_{\mu}]$ that preserve gauge invariance and translation symmetry?

I wrote the most interesting ones below. There can also be terms involving dA.

(c) Consider the case of d = 1. In addition to the terms involving dA, one interesting term is

$$S_{\nu}[\theta, A] \equiv \frac{\nu}{2\pi} \int A \wedge d\theta = \frac{\nu}{2\pi} \int dx dt A_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \theta \epsilon^{\mu\nu}.$$
 (2)

One point to notice about it is that it is not obviously gauge invariant, because it depends explicitly on A and not just the gauge-invariant object F. Show that $e^{\mathbf{i}S_{\nu}}$ is gauge invariant if ν is an integer.

Under a gauge transformation, it changes by

$$\delta S_{\nu} = \frac{\nu}{2\pi} \int d\theta \wedge g^{-1} dg.$$
(3)

This is not obviously zero. But we don't actually need the variation of the action to be zero, we just need it to be an integer multiple of $2\pi \mathbf{i}$, since it only ever appears exponentiated in the path integral. And in fact, if θ and g are continuous functions and spacetime has no boundaries, (3) is always $2\pi \mathbf{i}\nu$ times an integer. (To see this, first show that it is invariant under small changes of g or θ :

$$\frac{\delta\left(\delta S_{\nu}\right)}{\delta g} = \frac{\delta\left(\delta S_{\nu}\right)}{\delta \theta} = 0.$$

So it is topological. Then we can compute it for some representative configuration. If, for definiteness, we periodically identify the spacetime coordinates, (3) is an expression for $(2\pi \mathbf{i} \text{ times})$ the winding number of the map $T^2 \to T^2, (x,t) \to (g(x,t), \theta(x,t))$. Note that maps g: spacetime $\to G$ that are not continuously connected to the map to the identity are called 'large gauge transformations'.) Therefore, if $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, then (2) is gauge invariant¹.

(d) What does the new term (2) do? Well, the first question we should ask about an effective action for a background gauge field is: what is the resulting charge density:

$$\rho(x) = \frac{\delta S}{\delta A_0(x)} ?$$

Interpret your result.

$$\rho(x) = \frac{\delta S}{\delta A_0(x)} = \frac{\nu}{2\pi} \partial_x \theta + \cdots$$

This equation correctly expresses the fact that deforming the lattice away from a uniform configuration will make the density vary.

¹Alternatively, if spacetime is a manifold without boundary, we can integrate by parts and write

$$S_{\nu} = -\frac{\nu}{2\pi} \int \theta \wedge F.$$

This is manifestly gauge invariant, but it is not manifestly single-valued under $\theta \to \theta + 2\pi$, as it must be to be well-defined. Fortunately, $\int_S F/2\pi \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an integer if A is a background U(1) gauge field on a manifold S without boundary (this is called flux quantization), and so again we conclude that $e^{\mathbf{i}S_{\nu}}$ is well-defined if $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The \cdots is contributions from other terms in the action, such as a term like $\int A_0 \rho_0$ that adds a background density. If ρ_0 is constant in time and integrates to an integer, this is also gauge invariant. More generally, we could add $\int A_{\mu} j^{\mu}$ which you can show is gauge invariant (even under large gauge transformations) as long as $\partial_{\mu} j^{\mu} = 0$.

- (e) What is the analog of (2) in d dimensions? (That is, find a term in d spatial dimensions involving a single power of A and derivatives of the θ^{I} that can be written without using the metric.) Show that its coefficient ν is quantized to be an integer. What contribution does it make to the density?
- (f) We can identify the goldstone field θ with the phase field describing the displacements of the atoms from their equilibrium positions:

$$u^{i}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}a_{I}^{i}\theta^{I}(x,t) - x^{i}$$

where \vec{a}_I are generators of the lattice Γ . Then the equilibrium configuration is actually $\theta^I(x,t) = K_i^I x^i$ where $K_i^I \left(\frac{a}{2\pi}\right)_I^j = \delta_i^j$, so K_i^I is the matrix whose columns are the reciprocal lattice generators.

The generalization of (2) in d spatial dimensions is

$$\frac{\nu}{(2\pi)^d} \int A \wedge d\theta^1 \wedge d\theta^2 \dots \wedge d\theta^d .$$
(4)

Again $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ is required by gauge invariance. This gives the density

$$\rho(x) = \frac{\delta S}{\delta A_0(x)} = \frac{\nu}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{d!} \epsilon_{I_1 \cdots I_d} \epsilon^{i_1 \cdots i_d} \partial_{x_{i_1}} \theta^{I_1} \cdots \partial_{x_{i_d}} \theta^{I_d}.$$

Plugging in the equilibrium configuration gives

$$\rho_0(x) = \nu \frac{\det K}{(2\pi)^d} = \frac{\nu}{V}$$

where $V \equiv \det a$ is the volume of the unit cell. This says that ν is the (integer!) number of atoms per unit cell.

(g) The conclusion you should find by the gauge invariance argument above, under the present assumptions, is that ν , and hence the equilibrium number of particles per unit cell, must be an integer. This is an avatar of the Lieb-Schulz-Mattis-Oshikawa-Hastings (LSMOH) theorem. Now, you may say to yourself, why can't I make a system at some filling which is not an integer? Indeed, I can take 20007 particles and place them in a volume with 20004 unit cells, and the system must have some groundstate. What gives?

- 2. Edge modes of CS theory. Now we return to abelian Chern-Simons theory (for an extra challenge, redo this part in the non-Abelian case). If there is a boundary of spacetime, something must be done to fix up the fact that the action is not invariant under would-be gauge transformations that are nontrivial at the boundary. Consider the case where $\Sigma = \mathbb{R} \times \text{UHP}$ where \mathbb{R} is the time direction, and UHP is the upper half-plane y > 0. One way to fix the problem is simply to declare that the would-be gauge transformations which do not vanish at y = 0 are not redundancies. This means that they represent physical degrees of freedom.
 - (a) First consider the simplest case of U(1) CS theory at level k. Choose a₀ = 0 gauge, and plug the solution of the bulk equations of motion a = d̃φ (where φ(x, y → 0) ≡ φ(x) is a scalar field, and d̃ is the exterior derivative on the spatial manifold) into the Chern-Simons action to find the resulting action for φ.

The exterior derivative on this spacetime decomposes into $d = \partial_t dt + \tilde{d}$ where \tilde{d} is just the spatial part, and similarly the gauge field is $a = a_0 dt + \tilde{a}$. Let us choose the gauge $a_0 = 0$. We must still impose the equations of motion for a_0 (in the path integral it is a Lagrange multiplier) which says $\tilde{d}\tilde{a} = 0$ (just the spatial part). This equation is solved by $\tilde{a} = \tilde{d}\phi$ (or rather $\tilde{a} = g^{-1}dg$ where g is a U(1)-valued function). This is pure gauge except at the boundary. Plugging this into the CS term gives

$$S = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times D} \tilde{a} \wedge \left(dt \partial_t + \tilde{d} \right) \tilde{a} \tag{5}$$

$$=\frac{k}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times D}\tilde{d}\phi\wedge dt\partial_t\tilde{d}\phi \tag{6}$$

$$=\frac{k}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times D}\tilde{d}\left(\phi\wedge dt\partial_t\tilde{d}\phi\right)\tag{7}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Stokes}}{=} \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \partial D} \phi dt \partial_t \tilde{d}\phi \tag{8}$$

$$=\frac{k}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\partial D}dxdt\phi\partial_t\partial_x\phi\tag{9}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{IBP}}{=} -\frac{k}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \partial D} dx dt \partial_x \phi \partial_t \phi. \tag{10}$$

(b) We can also add local terms at the boundary to the action. Consider adding $\Delta S = g \int_{\partial \Sigma} a_x^2$ (for some coupling constant g). Find the equations of motion for ϕ .

This term evaluates to $\Delta S = \int_{\partial \Sigma} v \left(\partial_x \phi\right)^2$. Altogether we now have

$$S_{\text{edge}}[\phi] = \int_{y=0} dx dt \partial_x \phi \left(\frac{k}{4\pi} \partial_t \phi + g \partial_x \phi\right).$$

The EoM is then

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi(x)}S_{\text{edge}}[\phi] = \partial_t \left(\frac{k}{4\pi}\partial_t\phi + g\partial_x\phi\right)$$

which is solved if $\frac{k}{4\pi}\partial_t \phi + g\partial_x \phi = 0$. This describes a dispersionless wave which moves only in the signk direction – a chiral bosonic edge mode.

For more, I recommend the textbook by Xiao-Gang Wen.

Interpretation: the Chern-Simons theory on a space with boundary necessarily produces a chiral edge mode.

(c) If you feel like it, redo the previous parts for the general K-matrix theory.