# University of California at San Diego – Department of Physics – Prof. John McGreevy Physics 230 Quantum Phases of Matter, Spring 2024 Assignment 1

Due 11pm Thursday, April 11, 2024

## 1. Simple stabilizer codes.

I've mentioned the toric code as an important solvable example of topological order. We can solve it because it is an example of what is called a *stabilizer code*. This means that the systems is made from a bunch of qubits and all the terms in the Hamiltonian are made of Pauli Xs and Zs<sup>1</sup> and all commute with each other. This problem is a warmup problem to get used to this idea.

(a) Consider the Hamiltonian on two qbits

$$-H = X_1 X_2 + Z_1 Z_2.$$

Show that the terms commute and that the groundstate is

$$\frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

(b) Consider the (non-local) Hamiltonian on N qbits

$$H_{\rm GHZ} = -X_1 \cdots X_N - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} Z_i Z_{i+1}.$$
 (1)

Show that all the terms commute. Show that the groundstate is (the 'GHZ state' or 'cat state')

$$\frac{|00...0\rangle + |11...1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

$$\sigma_\ell^x \equiv X_\ell, \sigma^z \equiv Z_\ell.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A comment about notation: the notation  $\sigma_{\ell}^x, \sigma_{\ell}^z$  is pretty terrible (at least for someone with deteriorating eyesight like me) because the crucial information (x or z) is hidden in the superscript. Much better is to write

Also, I use  $|0\rangle$ ,  $|1\rangle$  to denote the  $\pm 1$  eigenstates of Z, and  $|\pm\rangle$  to denote the  $\pm 1$  eigenstates of X.

(c) [bonus] Show that the following circuit U produces the GHZ state from the product state  $|0\rangle^{\otimes N}$ .



Let me explain the notation. Each horizontal line represents a qubit. H represents the 'hadamard gate' acting on one qubit by  $H |\uparrow\rangle = |+\rangle, H |\downarrow\rangle = |-\rangle, i.e.$ 

$$H = |+\rangle\langle\uparrow|+|-\rangle\langle\downarrow|. \tag{2}$$

The vertical line segments represent the 'control-X gate' that acts on two qubits by

$$\mathsf{CX} = |0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes 1 + |1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes X.$$
(3)

(The first qubit is the one with the black dot, and the second is the one with the circled +.)

Notice that this circuit has depth N.

- (d) [bonus] What state does U produce from  $|1\rangle_1 \otimes |0\rangle^{\otimes N-1}$ ?
- (e) [bonus] Find the result of feeding the Hamiltonian  $-\sum_i Z_i$  (whose ground-state is the product state  $|0\rangle^{\otimes N}$ ) through the circuit, *i.e.* what is

$$U\left(-\sum_{i}Z_{i}
ight)U^{\dagger}$$
 ?

Hint: use the rules for the action of CX by conjugation given in lecture.

## 2. Gauge theory can emerge from a local Hilbert space.

The Hilbert space of a gauge theory is a funny thing: states related by a gauge transformation are physically equivalent. In particular, it is not a tensor product over independent local Hilbert spaces associated with regions of space. Because of this, there is much hand-wringing about defining entanglement in gauge theory. The following is helpful for thinking about this. It is a realization of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  lattice gauge theory, beginning from a model with no redundancy in its Hilbert space. In this avatar it is due to Kitaev and is called the *toric code*.

To define the Hilbert space, put a qubit on every link  $\ell$  of a lattice, say the 2d square lattice, so that  $\mathcal{H} = \bigotimes_{\ell} \mathcal{H}_{\ell}$ . Let  $\sigma_{\ell}^{x}, \sigma_{\ell}^{z}$  be the associated Pauli operators, and recall that  $\{\sigma_{\ell}^{x}, \sigma_{\ell}^{z}\} = 0$ .  $\mathcal{H}_{\ell} = \operatorname{span}\{|\sigma_{\ell}^{z} = 1\rangle, |\sigma_{\ell}^{z} = -1\rangle\}$  is a useful basis for the Hilbert space of a single link.

One term in the hamiltonian is associated with each site  $j \to A_j \equiv \prod_{l \in j} \sigma_l^z$  and one with each plaquette  $p \to B_p \equiv \prod_{l \in \partial p} \sigma_l^x$ , as indicated in the figure at right.

$$\mathbf{H} = -\Gamma_e \sum_j A_j - \Gamma_m \sum_p B_p.$$



- (a) Show that all these terms commute with each other.
- (b) The previous result means we can diagonalize the Hamiltonian by diagonalizing one term at a time. Let's imagine that  $\Gamma_e \gg \Gamma_m$  so we'll minimize the 'star' terms  $A_j$  first. Which states satisfy the 'star condition'  $A_j = 1$ ? In the  $\sigma^x$  basis there is an extremely useful visualization: we say a link lof  $\hat{\Gamma}$  is covered with a segment of string (an electric flux line) if  $\sigma^z_l = -1$ (so the electric field on the link is  $\mathbf{e}_l = 1$ ) and is not covered if  $\sigma^z_l = +1$ (so the electric field on the link is  $\mathbf{e}_l = 0$ ):  $\mathbf{f} \equiv (\sigma^z_l = -1)$ . Draw all possible configurations incident on a single vertex j and characterize which ones satisfy  $A_j = 1$ .
- (c) [bonus] What is the effect of adding a term  $\Delta \mathbf{H} = \sum_{\ell} g\sigma^x$ ? Convince yourself that in the limit  $\Gamma_e \gg \Gamma_m$ , for energies  $E \ll \Gamma_e$ , this is identical to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  lattice gauge theory, where  $A_j = 1$  is a discrete version of the Gauss law constraint.
- (d) Set g = 0 again. In the subspace of solutions of the star condition, find the groundstate(s) of the plaquette term. First consider a simply-connected region of lattice, then consider periodic boundary conditions.

### 3. Groundstate degeneracy and 1-form symmetry algebra.

(a) Suppose we have a system with Hamiltonian H with string operators  $W_C$ and  $V_{\check{C}}$  supported on closed curves, and commuting with H, and satisfying  $W^N = V^N = 1.$ 

In all parts of this problem you should make the assumption that the string operators are *deformable*:  $W_C$  acts in the same way as  $W_{C+\partial p}$  on ground-states.

Suppose  $[W_C, W_{C'}] = 0, [V_{\check{C}}, \check{C}']$  for all curves but

$$W_C V_{\check{C}} = \omega^{\# \left( C \cap \check{C} \right)} V_{\check{C}} W_C$$

where  $\omega \equiv e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}}$  and  $\# (C \cap \check{C})$  is the number of intersection points of the curves. How many groundstates does such a system have on the two-torus (that is, with periodic boundary conditions on both spatial directions)? This is what happens in the  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  toric code.

(b) Now suppose in a different system we have just one set of string operators  $W_C$  satisfying

$$W_C W_{C'} = \omega^{\#(C \cap C')} W_{C'} W_C,$$

with the same definitions as above. How many groundstates does this system have on the two-torus?

This is what happens in the Laughlin fractional quantum Hall state with filling fraction  $\frac{1}{N}$ .

(c) [Bonus problem] Redo the previous problems for a genus g Riemann surface, *i.e.* the surface of a donut with g handles.

### 4. Simplicial homology and the toric code. [Bonus]

The toric code is a physical realization of *homology*, a construction that extracts topological invariants of topological spaces. This problem explains the relation.

(a) The toric code we've discussed so far has qbits on the links  $\ell \in \Delta_1(\Delta)$  of a graph  $\Delta$ . But the definition of the Hamiltonian involves more information than just the links of the graph: we have to know which vertices v lie at the boundaries of each link  $\ell$ , and we have to know which links are boundaries of which faces. The Hamiltonian has two kinds of terms: a 'plaquette' operator  $B_p = \prod_{\ell \in \partial p} X_\ell$  associated with each 2-cell (plaquette)  $p \in \Delta_2(\Delta)$ . and 'star' operators,  $A_s = \prod_{\ell \in \partial^{-1}(s)} Z_\ell$ , associated with each 0-cell (site)  $s \in \Delta_0(\Delta)$ . Here I've introduced some notation that will be useful, please be patient:  $\Delta_k$  denotes a collection of k-dimensional polyhedra which I'll call k-simplices or more accurately k-cells – k-dimensional objects making up the space. (It is important that each of these objects is topologically a k-ball.) This information constitutes (part of) a simplicial complex, which says how these parts are glued together:

$$\Delta_d \xrightarrow{\partial} \Delta_{d-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots \Delta_1 \xrightarrow{\partial} \Delta_0 \tag{4}$$

where  $\partial$  is the (signed) boundary operator. For example, the boundary of a link is  $\partial \ell = s_1 - s_0$ , the difference of the vertices at its ends. The boundary

of a face  $\partial p = \sum_{\ell \in \partial p} \ell$  is the (oriented) sum of the edges bounding it. By  $\partial^{-1}(s)$  I mean the set of links which contain the site s in their boundary (with sign).

Think of this collection of objects as a triangulation (or more generally some chopping-up) of a smooth manifold X. Convince yourself that the sequence of maps (4) is a complex in the sense that  $\partial^2 = 0 \pmod{4}$ .

(b) [not actually a question] This means that the simplicial complex defines a set of homology groups, which are topological invariants of X, in the following way. (It is homology and not cohomology because ∂ decreases the degree k). To define these groups, we should introduce one more gadget, which is a collection of vector spaces over some ring R (for the ordinary toric code, R = Z<sub>2</sub>)

$$\Omega_p(\boldsymbol{\Delta}, R), \ p = 0...d \equiv \dim(X)$$

basis vectors for which are *p*-simplices:

$$\Omega_p(\mathbf{\Delta}, R) = \operatorname{span}_R \{ \sigma \in \Delta_p \}$$

– that is, we associate a(n orthonormal) basis vector to each p-simplex (which I've just called  $\sigma$ ), and these vector spaces are made by taking linear combinations of these spaces, with coefficients in R. Such a linear combination of p-simplices is called a p-chain. It's important that we can add (and subtract) p-chains,  $C + C' \in \Omega_p$ . A p-chain with a negative coefficient can be regarded as having the opposite orientation. We'll see below how better to interpret the coefficients.

The boundary operation on  $\Delta_p$  induces one on  $\Omega_p$ . A chain C satisfying  $\partial C = 0$  is called a *cycle*, and is said to be *closed*.

So the *p*th homology is the group of equivalence classes of *p*-cycles, modulo boundaries of p + 1 cycles:

$$H_p(X, R) \equiv \frac{\ker\left(\partial : \Omega_p \to \Omega_{p-1}\right) \subset \Omega_p}{\operatorname{Im}\left(\partial : \Omega_{p+1} \to \Omega_p\right) \subset \Omega_p}$$

This makes sense because  $\partial^2 = 0$  – the image of  $\partial : \Omega_{p+1} \to \Omega_p$  is a subset of ker  $(\partial : \Omega_p \to \Omega_{p-1})$ . It's a theorem that the dimensions of these groups are the same for different (faithful-enough) discretizations  $\Delta$  of X. Furthermore, their dimensions (as vector spaces over R)  $b_p(X)$  contain (much of<sup>2</sup>) the same information as the Betti numbers defined by de Rham cohomology. For more information and proofs, see the great book by Bott and Tu, *Differential forms in algebraic topology*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>I don't want to talk about torsion homology.

(c) A state of the toric code on a cell-complex  $\Delta$  can be written (for the hamiltonian described above, this is in the basis where  $Z_{\ell}$  is diagonal) as an element of  $\Omega_1(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ ,

$$\left|\Psi\right\rangle = \sum_{C} \Psi(C) \left|C\right\rangle$$

where C is an assignment of an element of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  in X (the eigenvalue of  $Z_\ell$ ). For the case of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  coefficients,  $1 = -1 \mod 2$  and we don't care about the orientations of the cells. Show that the conditions for a state  $\Psi(C)$  to be a groundstate of the toric code  $(A_s | \Psi \rangle = | \Psi \rangle \forall s$  and  $B_p | \Psi \rangle = | \Psi \rangle \forall p$ ) are exactly those defining an element of  $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .

(d) Consider putting a spin variable on the *p*-simplices of  $\Delta$ . More generally, let's put an *N*-dimensional hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_N \equiv \text{span}\{|n\rangle, n = 1..N\}$  on each *p*-simplex, on which act the operators

$$\mathbf{X} \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{N} |n\rangle \langle n| \, \omega^{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \\ 0 \ \omega \ 0 \ \dots \\ 0 \ 0 \ \omega^{2} \ \dots \\ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{Z} \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{N} |n\rangle \langle n+1| = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \\ \vdots \ \vdots \ \ddots \\ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\omega^N = 1$  is an *n*th root of unity. If you haven't already, check that they satisfy the clock-shift algebra:  $\mathbf{ZX} = \omega \mathbf{XZ}$ . For N = 2 these are Pauli matrices and  $\omega = -1$ .

Consider the Hamiltonian

$$\mathbf{H}_p = -J_{p-1} \sum_{s \in \Delta_{p-1}} A_s - J_{p+1} \sum_{\mu \in \Delta_{p+1}} B_\mu - g_p \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta_p} \mathbf{Z}_\sigma$$

with

$$A_s \equiv \prod_{\sigma \in \partial^{-1}(s) \subset \Delta_p} \mathbf{Z}_{\sigma}$$
$$B_{\mu} \equiv \prod_{\sigma \in \partial \mu} \mathbf{X}_{\sigma} .$$

This is a lattice version of p-form  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  gauge theory, at a particular, special point in its phase diagram (the RG fixed point for the deconfined phase). Show that

$$0 = [A_s, A_{s'}] = [B_{\mu}, B_{\mu'}] = [A_s, B_{\mu}], \quad \forall s, s', \mu, \mu'$$

so that for  $g_p = 0$  this is solvable.

(e) Show that the groundstates of  $\mathbf{H}_p$  (with  $g_p = 0$ ) are in one-to-one correspondence with elements of  $H_p(\mathbf{\Delta}, \mathbb{Z}_N)$ .